

Law Offices
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP
Suite 1000
1725 K Street
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-2930
Facsimile (202) 887-0357
Facsimile (202) 331-7519

DATE: July 14, 2004

TO: Examiner Jon Chang
Group Art Unit: 2623

RE: Examiner Interview
U.S. Patent Application, S.N. 09/475,991
By: **SAKAI, Kenichiro** .
Our Reference: P1229-698-A-991522

FROM: George N. Stevens

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): 3

FACSIMILE TELEPHONE NUMBER: (703) 746-5874

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES BEING SENT

GNS/alw

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. This message may also be an attorney/client communication which is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by calling us collect and return the original message to us at the above address by mail. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: **SAKAI, Kenichiro**

Group Art Unit: **2623**

Serial No.: **09/475,991**

Examiner: **Jon Carlton Chang**

Filed: **December 30, 1999**

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: **7159**

FOR: DOCUMENT IMAGE PROCESSING DEVICE, DOCUMENT IMAGE MERGING METHOD, AND STORAGE MEDIUM RECORDING A DOCUMENT IMAGE MERGING PROGRAM

EXAMINER INTERVIEW

July 14, 2004

Sir:

The applicant's representative has requested a telephone interview with the Examiner for the above referenced case to take place Thursday, July 15, 2004 at 2PM.

The only claim left in this case which has not been allowed is claim 34. In an attempt to expedite allowance of this case a proposed claim amendment to claim 34 is herein contained. Claim 34 has been amended in a similar manner to claim 32 which is allowed. Therefore, for the same reason as claim 32, claim 34 is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is requested to review proposed claim 34 to determine if it is condition for allowance.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

Claim 34 (currently amended): A document image merging method, comprising:
partitioning first and second document images among a plurality of document images which are partitioned and read, respectively into a plurality of vertical and horizontal regions;

extracting line images containing only character images from the plurality of vertical and horizontal regions partitioned;

comparing between the number of line images containing only character images in the plurality of regions vertically partitioned and the number of such line images found in the plurality of regions horizontally partitioned, and judging the plurality of regions that hold more line images found to contain only character images as a low graphics-ratio region;

detecting an overlapping position between the first and second document images based on positions of the extracted line image whose matching degrees are high by making a comparison between a character region of a line image in a region ~~containing a plurality of line images, of a plurality of regions in the first document image~~ judged as the low graphics-ratio region and a character region of a line image in a corresponding region of the second document image; and

merging the first and second document images at the detected overlapping position.